

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
	09/769,801	01/25/2001	George A. Tropoloc	56553-P001CP1-09907871	4084
	29053	7590 08/29/2003			
	DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
	2200 ROSS A SUITE 2800	VENUE		FERNSTROM, KURT	
	DALLAS, TX 75201-2784				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3712	
				DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/769,801	TROPOLOC, GEORGE A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kurt Fernstrom	3712			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE - NOV	IT. ((0) 500M			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  5 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	<u>lune 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)[]	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	ion of Claims	an.				
-	Claim(s) <u>21-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are allowed.	wit from consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>33</u> is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>34-38</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
•	ion Papers					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)[] A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachmen	-					
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Serial Number: 09/769,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tehan in view of Frascara. Tehan discloses in Figures 3-5 and in column 3, line 30 to column 5, line 25 a method of communicating using a plurality of colored blocks comprising assigning colors to letters, and communicating the identity of each letter via a particular color, without providing a unique geometric shape for each letter. While Figures 1 and 2 show a letter on the side of each block, Tehan explicitly discloses in column 3, lines 55-62 that the blocks are not provided with any indicia apart from the colors. Tehan fails to disclose the assigning of a unique color to each letter of the alphabet, reusing certain colors for more than one letter. Frascara discloses in Figures 3a-3c and in column 2, lines 6-34 the use of a unique background pattern for each of the 26 letters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the method disclosed by Tehan by providing unique colors for each of the 26 letters for the purpose of providing a single distinguishing feature for identifying the letters. While Frascara is directed

•

Serial Number: 09/769,801

Art Unit: 3712

to background patterns rather than colors, these patterns are considered to be analogous to colors

Page 3

in that each uses a visual pattern as an identification means. The lines of the patterns of Frascara

do not serve any particular purpose beyond that of a color.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 21-32 are allowed.

4. Claims 34-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The

prior art fails to disclose or suggest a method having all of the limitations of claim 34, in particular

the provision of the communication step within a computer aided tutorial. Tehan is directed to a

set of colored blocks which are physically manipulated by hand. There is no motivation or

suggestion to combine the teachings of Tehan with those of known computer aided tutorial

methods.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 33 has been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**\** 

Serial Number: 09/769,801

Art Unit: 3712

ŕ

Page 4

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (703) 305-0303.

KF

August 21, 2003

LAFets
Kut Fendon